	Cic		,		
Grant I	Recipient_TXSA				
	of Respondent	TxCDBG Cont	ract No72154	00	
		Date of Rating	67 51	0/6	
	tor's Name (interela Guerkra)			9/8	
Experi	ence. Boto the				
EXPORT	ence - Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	S:			
12		Max.Pts.	•	Comments	
1	type of projects		Score		
, 2	. Has worked on federally funded construction projects	20	20		
3.	has worked on projects that were located in this and	10	10		
		10			
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application losses.				
	criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and all propriate number	1			
	of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	,			
4.	200.314(6)		9		
5.	and the capetierice in project construction management	15	11		
J.	Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation	5	<u></u>		
			5		
	Subtotal, Experience	60	= 0		
Monto D			_58		
AAOLK L	erformance				
	Factor	May Dt-	:=::		
1,	Past projects completed on schedule	Max.Pts.	Score		
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	8		
3.	Work product is of high quality	5	_4		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10	10		
	Subset 1 = -				
NOTE: Infor	Subtotal, Performance	25	22		
	mation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	sticument clients.			
	y to Perform				
	Factor				
1.		Max.Pts.	Score		
2.	- Policitica of Staff	5	, ,		
	Adequacy of Resources	5	1		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5			
		3	_5_		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform				
	, spasify to renorm	15	14		
TOTAL S	CORE				
	Factor				
	Experience	Max.Pts.	Score	1	
	Work Performance	60	55	58	
		25	-05	7772	
	Capacity to Perform	15	45	000	
		13	10	14	-
	Total Score			-04	
	Total acore	100	90	4-1	

FXSA

Grant	Recipient PRESING		
	of Bearing 1	TxCDBG Contract No. 7215	400
Evalua	ator's Name LORETTANAS	Date of Rating	409
Exper	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas		
			Comments
	Has previously designedtype of projects	Max.Pts. Score	
	ried worked on rederally funded construction projects	20 /8	
3	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	
		10	
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		
4	extent of experience in project construction management		
5	Carrell Celtification of Lychec Designation	15	
	Training Training	5	
	Subtotal, Experience	60	
Work P	erformance	54	
HOINT	Factor	,	
1.		Max.Pts. Score	
2.	sor projects completed on schedule	10 7	
	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5 -12	
0,	Work product is of high quality	10	
	*		
NOTE: Infor	Subtotal, Performance	25	
	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	st/current clients.	
Capacit	to Perform		
	Factor		
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	Max.Pts. Score	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5 3	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	
	, manage is in torce	5 3	
	Subtotal Consults and		
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	
TOTAL S	CORE		
	Factor		
	Experience	Max.Pts. Score	
	Work Performance	60	
	Capacity to Perform	25 / 7	
		15	
	TO		
	Total Score	100	

-	Danili (3		
	Recipient Tresidio County	Tycopo cont	- 1.11	
	of Respondent Frank X Spenis of Haspin La	TxCDBG Contri	act No7215	409
Evaluat	tor's Name Flor aranda	Date of Rating	3-21-	16
4				
Experie	ence Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	s:		
	Tactor	Max.Pts.	C	Comments
1.	type of projects	20	Score	
2.	rias worked on federally funded construction projects	10	10	
3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	_/0_	
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)		/	
4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	45		
5.	Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation	15 5	_5_	
	Subtotal, Experience		_ 5	
		60	35	_
Work Pe	erformance			
	Factor	**		
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	Max.Pts.	Score	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	10	_2	
3.	Work product is of high quality	5	_2	
	, ,	10	_2	
	Subtotal, Performance	25		
NOTE: Inform	nation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting pa	et/ormat allegate		
		isoccitent clents.	***	100
Capacity	/ to Perform	*1		
4	Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Experience of Staff	5	20019	
2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	_2	
		3	_5_	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	9	_
TOTAL S	CORE			
	Factor			
	Experience	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Work Performance	60	35	
	Capacity to Perform	25	1	
		15	9	
	Total Score	100	(h	
			-50	

Name	of F	Respondent FX3 A s Name Jim White	TxCDBG Contract Date of Rating _	ct No721540	
Exper	rien	ce Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas	s:		Comments
		Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	Comments
	1.	Has previously designedtype of projects	20	7 0	
11	2.	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	10	<u> </u>	
	3.	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	7	
		Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate numbe of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)	r	14	
27	4.	Extent of experience in project construction management	15		
	5.	Current Certification of TxCDBG Project Implementation Training	5	-5-	
		Subtotal, Experience	60	56	
Work	Per	formance			
		Factor	Max.Pts.	Score	
	1.	Past projects completed on schedule	10	SCOLA	
	2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5		
	3.	Work product is of high quality	10	7	
				-9	
NOTE	1-6	Subtotal, Performance	25	22	
NOTE	iniom	ation necessary to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting	past/current clients.		
Capa	city	to Perform			
		Factor	Max.Pts.	Cana	
	1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	Score	
	2.	Adequacy of Resources	5		
	3.				
	٠.	Professional liability insurance is in force			
	٠.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	5	
		Professional liability insurance is in force Subtotal, Capacity to Perform		5	
тоти		Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	5	5	
тоти		Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	15	5 15	
тоти		Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor	15 Max.Pts.	5 15 \Score	5la
тоти	AL S	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience	15 Max.Pts. 60	5 15 Score 6 &	560
тоти	AL S	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance	15 Max.Pts. 60 25	5 15 Score 60 20	525
тоти	ALS	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience	15 Max.Pts. 60	5 15 Score 6 8 2 0	5625
тоти	ALS	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform SCORE Factor Experience Work Performance	15 Max.Pts. 60 25	Score 60 20 13 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	525/93

Grant	Recipient			
Name	of Respondent FXSA	TxCDBG Contra	act No7215	409
Evalua	ator's Name Lorenzo	Date of Rating		
				2
Exper	ience - Rate the respondent for experience in the following areas			
	1 40101			Comments
1	. Has previously designedtype of projects	Max.Pts.	Score	
2	Has worked on federally funded construction projects	20	19	
3	Has worked on projects that were located in this general region.	10	9	
		10		
	Note: Location for A/E (Architect/Engineer) may be a selection criterion provided its application leaves an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, to compete for the contract. 2 CFR 200.319(b)			
- 4,	Extent of experience in project construction management	921	_10	
5.	Current Certification of TxCDRG Project Implementation	15	14	
	Training	5		
	Subtotal, Experience		3	
33		60	55	
Work P	erformance			> *
	Factor	May Dta	- 3	
1.	Past projects completed on schedule	Max.Pts. 10	Score	
2.	Manages projects within budgetary constraints	5	_8	
3.	Work product is of high quality	200	_6	
		10	_6	
	Subtotal, Performance	25		
NOTE: Infon	mation necessiny to assess the respondent on these criteria should be gathered by contacting participating partici	25	20	
		obcorrent Cherits.		•
Capacit	y to Perform			
- 4	Factor	Max.Pts.	0	
1.	Staff Level / Experience of Staff	5	Score	
	Adequacy of Resources	5	<u> 4</u>	
3.	Professional liability insurance is in force	5	4_	
		5	3	
	Subtotal, Capacity to Perform	45		
****		15		
TOTAL S				
	Factor	Me. Di	520	
	Experience	Max.Pts.	Score	
	Work Performance	60	5-5-	
	Capacity to Perform	25	20	
		15	(1	
	Taraka			
	Total Score	100	86	
			200	